Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/812,753	ROGERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Maureen M. Wallenhorst</u> .	(3)	
(2) Greg Sieczkiewicz (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 December 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's Representative indicated that no response to the Office action mailed on June 6, 2005 was filed, and therefore, the application is to go abandoned.		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		